C.M. No. 11334 of 2007 and (O&M)
CWP NO. 1150 OF 2007

* * *

Neelam Kumar vs. State of Punjab

Present: Mr.Vivek Sharma, Advocate for the petitiner.
Mr.Arvind Mittal, Addl.A.G.Punjab

* * *

C.M. No.11334 of 2007

Petitioner moved the present application in the writ petition seeking a direction to the respondents to allow the writ petition in view of Annexure P12, the order passed by the Principal Secretary, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab granting relief to the similarly situated persons. In the alternative, the prayer is to enable the petitioner to file representation to the respondents which may be directed to be considered.

Notice of the application is issued.

Mr.Arvind Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice. A copy of the application has already been supplied.

With the consent of the parties, the writ petition itself is taken up for hearing.

C.M. is disposed of accordingly.

CWP No. 1150 of 2007

Counsel for the petitioner states that he may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to move appropriate representation to the respondents for grant of relief prayed for in the writ petition which may be considered by respondent No.1 in accordance with law and as per the policy, if any, within some time framed.

Mr.Mittal appearing for the respondents does not have any objection to this relief granted to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file detailed representation to respondent No.1 for claiming various reliefs claimed in the writ petition. If any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and decided by respondent No.1 in accordance with law and the policy, if any, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

July 24, 2007
arya